Mem. Fac. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Series B: Mathematical Science **31** (1998), pp. 1–7

SEMIBOUNDED PERTURBATION OF GREEN FUNCTION IN AN NTA DOMAIN

Hiroaki Aikawa

(Received December 10, 1997)

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathscr{L} = -\sum_{i,j} \partial_i (a_{ij}\partial_j)$ be a Hölder continuous uniformly elliptic operator on an NTA domain and let $\mathscr{L}_V = \mathscr{L} + V$ be a generalized Schrödinger operator for a nonnegative function V. Let G and G_V be the Green function for the Dirichlet problem with respect to \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{L}_V , respectively. In case V is a function of the distance to the boundary, a necessary and sufficient condition for G and G_V to have comparable decay near the boundary is given. This is based on an integral identity of \mathscr{L} -harmonic functions and integral inequalities of \mathscr{L} -superharmonic and \mathscr{L} -subharmonic functions, which may be of independent interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathscr{L} = -\sum_{i,j} \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j)$ be a smooth uniformly elliptic operator on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, such that $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$ is Hölder continuous on D and

$$\Lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2 \le A[\xi] \le \Lambda|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $A[\xi] = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j$ and $\Lambda > 1$ is a constant independent of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in D$. We also consider the Schrödinger operator $\mathscr{L}_V = \mathscr{L} + V$ for a nonnegative function V on D. Let G and G_V be the Green function for the Dirichlet problem in D with respect to \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{L}_V , respectively. This means that for any $y \in D$, $G(\cdot, y)$ and $G_V(\cdot, y)$ vanish on ∂D and $\mathscr{L}G(\cdot, y) = \mathscr{L}_V G_V(\cdot, y) = \delta_y$, where δ_y is the Dirac measure at y. Since \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{L}_V are self adjoint, it follows that G and G_V are symmetric.

We are interested in the relationship between G and G_V . It is easy to see that

$$G_V(x,y) \le G(x,y)$$
 for $x, y \in D$.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 31B05, 31C35, 35B20, 35J10.

Key words and phrases. Semibounded perturbation, Schrödinger equation, Green function, NTA domain, Martin boundary, Coarea formula.

HIROAKI AIKAWA

Let $x_0 \in D$ be fixed. We say that V is semibounded perturbation of \mathscr{L}_V if

$$G(x_0, y) \le CG_V(x_0, y)$$
 for $y \in D$

with C > 1 independent of $y \in D$ (see [13, §3]). The main purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for V of a certain form to be semibounded perturbation in the case when D is an NTA domain (see [10]). By the symbol C we denote an absolute positive constant whose value is unimportant and may change from line to line. We shall say that two positive functions f_1 and f_2 are comparable, written $f_1 \approx f_2$, if and only if there exists a constant $C \ge 1$ such that $C^{-1}f_1 \le f_2 \le Cf_1$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. Let D be an NTA domain. Let v(r) be a locally bounded nonnegative function for $0 < r \le r_0 = \operatorname{diam}(D)$ such that $v(r) \approx v(2r)$ and $r^2v(r)$ is nondecreasing for small r > 0. Set $V(x) = v(\delta(x))$ with $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D)$. Then V is semibounded perturbation of \mathscr{L}_V if and only if

(1)
$$\int_0 rv(r)dr < \infty.$$

It is known that the Martin boundary of an NTA domain with respect to \mathscr{L} is the Euclidean boundary and every boundary point is minimal ([10, Theorem 5.9 and p.158]). Hence, in view of [14, Theorem 2], we have the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 1. Let D be an NTA domain and let v and V be as above. If (1) holds, then the Martin boundary of D with respect to \mathscr{L}_V is the Euclidean boundary of D and every boundary point is minimal.

When $\mathscr{L} = -\Delta$ and D is smooth, Suzuki [14] showed the sufficiency part of the above theorem and we gave an alternative proof as well as the necessity part ([4, Theorems 2 and 3] see also [3]). Both proofs use the smoothness of D and do not apply to an NTA domain. Instead, we shall employ the following integral identity of \mathscr{L} -harmonic functions and integral inequalities of \mathscr{L} -superharmonic and \mathscr{L} -subharmonic functions, which may be of independent interest. These are generalizations of our previous results [1] (see also [2] and [5, pp.171–182]), which is based on the coarea formula.

Theorem 2. Let D be a regular domain. Let $\varphi(t)$ be a nonnegative function for t > 0. Let $x_0 \in D$ and $g(x) = G(x_0, x)$. Suppose $0 \le a < b \le \infty$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) If h is \mathscr{L} -harmonic on D, then

$$\int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} h(x)\varphi(g(x))A[\nabla g(x)]dx = h(x_0)\int_a^b \varphi(t)dt.$$

(ii) If u is \mathscr{L} -superharmonic on D, then

$$\int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} u(x)\varphi(g(x))A[\nabla g(x)]dx \le u(x_0) \int_a^b \varphi(t)dt$$

(iii) If s is \mathscr{L} -subharmonic on D, then

$$\int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} s(x)\varphi(g(x))A[\nabla g(x)]dx \ge s(x_0)\int_a^b \varphi(t)dt.$$

We shall estimate $|\nabla g(x)|$ to obtain some corollaries of Theorem 2, which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1. The upper estimate is easy. The lower estimate is more difficult. If D is an NTA domain, then $|\nabla g(x)|$ can be estimated by $g(x)/\delta(x)$ in a certain sense with the help of the boundary Harnack principle ([10, Theorem 5.1 and p.138]). For details see Section 2.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we also need the decay estimate of g(x) near the boundary. We find $0 < \beta \le 1 \le \alpha < \infty$ such that

(2)
$$C^{-1}\delta(x)^{\alpha} \le g(x) \le C\delta(x)^{\beta}$$
 for $x \in D$ close to ∂D .

The above inequalities are rather easy. The first inequality actually holds for a John domain (see e.g. [9, p.185 and (2.6)]) and the second does for a domain satisfying the capacity density condition (see e.g. [7]). In general, $\beta < 1 < \alpha$. Only in the case when D is smooth, we have $\alpha = \beta = 1$, which was essential in [4, Theorems 2 and 3]. Surprisingly, the weak estimate (2) is sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1.

Recently, Ancona [8] studied Green functions for elliptic operators on manifolds or domains. By using a completely different method, he proved a better result than the sufficiency part of Theorem 1. He proved that under the condition (1), Vbecomes bounded purtabation of \mathscr{L}_V , i.e., $G(x, y) \approx G_V(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2 AND COROLLARIESI

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is essentially the same as in [1]. We shall prove only (i), since the remaining can be proved in the same fashion. Let h be \mathscr{L} -harmonic on D. Let $D_t = \{x \in D : g(x) > t\}$ for t > 0. Observe that D_t is a relatively compact subset of D. We see that the Green function G^t for D_t with respect to \mathscr{L} satisfies that $G^t(x_0, x) = g(x) - t$. By the Sard theorem (see e.g. [11, Corollary on p.35]), ∂D_t is a smooth surface for a.e. t > 0. For such t we see that the outward normal \mathbf{n} of ∂D_t is given by $-\nabla g/|\nabla g|$. On the other hand the Poisson integral formula for D_t with respect to \mathscr{L} shows that

$$h(x_0) = -\int_{\partial D_t} h(x)(\nabla g(x), A(x)\mathbf{n}(x))d\sigma(x),$$

where $A(x)\mathbf{n}(x) = (\sum_{j} a_{ij}n_{j})$ is the conormal vector (see [12, (10.4) on p.21]). Hence

$$h(x_0) = \int_{\partial D_t} h(x) \frac{A[\nabla g(x)]}{|\nabla g(x)|} d\sigma(x).$$

By the coarea formula (see e.g. [11, pp.37–39])

$$h(x_0) \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt = \int_a^b dt \int_{\partial D_t} h(x) \varphi(g(x)) \frac{A[\nabla g(x)]}{|\nabla g(x)|} d\sigma(x)$$
$$= \int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} h(x) \varphi(g(x)) A[\nabla g(x)] dx.$$

Thus the required identity holds.

Let us estimate $\nabla g(x)$ and give some corollaries of Theorem 2, which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1. Since g(x) is positive and \mathscr{L} -harmonic near ∂D , it follows that $|\nabla g(x)| \leq Cg(x)/\delta(x)$. Since $A = (a_{ij})$ is uniformly elliptic, we have the following corollary from the above proof.

Corollary 2. Let $0 \le a < b \le \infty$. Suppose that s is a positive \mathscr{L} -subharmonic function on $\{x \in D : g(x) > a\}$. Then

$$s(x_0) \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \le C \int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} s(x) \varphi(g(x)) \frac{g(x)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dx,$$

where C is independent of s, a and b.

The inequality $|\nabla g(x)| \geq Cg(x)/\delta(x)$ does not hold in a pointwise sense. However, it holds in a certain weak sense for an NTA domain. In [1] this was observed for $\mathscr{L} = -\Delta$. The key ingredient was the boundary Harnack principle. For a general uniformly elliptic operator \mathscr{L} the boundary Harnack principle is available also (see [10, Theorem 5.1 and p.138]) and hence the same proof as in [1] works for the present case. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Let D be an NTA domain. Let $\varphi(t) \approx \varphi(2t)$ for t > 0 and let $0 \leq a < b < \infty$. Suppose that u is a positive \mathscr{L} -superharmonic function on D. Then

$$\int_{\{x \in D: a < g(x) < b\}} u(x)\varphi(g(x))\frac{g(x)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dx \le Cu(x_0) \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt$$

where C is independent of u, a and b.

Remark. Note that the simplified proofs in [2] and [5, pp.171–182] use the analyticity of harmonic functions ([2, Lemma 1] and [5, Lemma 9.6.2 on p.180]). In general, \mathscr{L} -harmonic functions need not be analytic. Instead of the analyticity, we can use the unique continuation property of those functions for the present situation.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Sufficiency: Let us recall the resolvent equation

(3)
$$G(x,y) = G_V(x,y) + \int_D G_V(x,z)V(z)G(z,y)dz \quad \text{for } x, y \in D.$$

In view of [14, §4] and [13, Theorem 1.5], it is sufficient to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact subset K of D such that

(4)
$$\int_{D\setminus K} g(z)V(z)G(z,y)dz \le \varepsilon g(y) \quad \text{for } y \in D,$$

where we recall $g(y) = G(x_0, y)$.

Suppose (1) holds. Let $\varphi(t) = t^{2/\alpha-1}v(t^{1/\alpha})$, in other words, $v(r) = r^{\alpha-2}\varphi(r^{\alpha})$ with $\alpha > 1$ in (2). By assumption $t\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing. Let b > 0 be sufficiently small such that

$$V(z) = v(\delta(z)) = \delta(z)^{\alpha - 2} \varphi(\delta(z)^{\alpha}) \le Cg(z)\varphi(g(z))\delta(z)^{-2} \quad \text{for } g(z) < b,$$

where (2) and the monotonicity of $t\varphi(t)$ are used. Hence, Corollary 3 with $u = G(\cdot, y)$ yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{z \in D: g(z) < b\}} g(z) V(z) G(z, y) dz &\leq C \int_{\{z \in D: g(z) < b\}} G(z, y) \varphi(g(z)) \frac{g(z)^2}{\delta(z)^2} dz \\ &\leq C g(y) \int_0^b \varphi(t) dt. \end{split}$$

By an elementary calculation

$$\int_0^b \varphi(t) dt = \alpha \int_0^{b^{1/\alpha}} rv(r) dr < \infty.$$

Hence (4) holds with $K = \{z \in D : g(z) \ge b\}$ for sufficiently small b > 0.

Necessity: Suppose V is semibounded perturbation of \mathscr{L}_V . Then by the resolvent equation (3)

(5)
$$\int_D g(z)V(z)G(z,y)dz \le Cg(y) \quad \text{for } y \in D.$$

Let $\psi(t) = t^{2/\beta-1}v(t^{1/\beta})$, in other words, $v(r) = r^{\beta-2}\psi(r^{\beta})$ with $0 < \beta < 1$ in (2). By assumption $t\psi(t)$ is nondecreasing. We choose b > 0 sufficiently small such that

$$V(z) = v(\delta(z)) = \delta(z)^{\beta - 2} \psi(\delta(z)^{\beta}) \ge Cg(z)\psi(g(z))\delta(z)^{-2} \quad \text{for } g(z) < b,$$

where (2) and the monotonicity of $t\psi(t)$ are used. Let 0 < a < b and take $y \in D$ close to the boundary such that g(y) < a. Then $G(\cdot, y)$ is \mathscr{L} -harmonic on $\{z \in D : g(z) > a\}$. Hence, Corollary 2 with $G(\cdot, y)$ and $\psi(t)$ in place of s and $\varphi(t)$ yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{z \in D: a < g(z) < b\}} g(z) V(z) G(z, y) dz &\geq C \int_{\{z \in D: a < g(z) < b\}} G(z, y) \psi(g(z)) \frac{g(z)^2}{\delta(z)^2} dz \\ &\geq C g(y) \int_a^b \psi(t) dt. \end{split}$$

In view of (5) we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) dt \le C_{t}$$

where C is independent of a and hence

$$\infty > \int_0^b \psi(t) dt = \beta \int_0^{b^{1/\beta}} rv(r) dr.$$

Thus (1) holds. The proof is complete.

References

- H. Aikawa, Integrability of superharmonic functions and subharmonic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 109–117.
- [2] H. Aikawa, Densities with the mean value property for harmonic functions in a Lipschitz domain, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 229–234.
- [3] H. Aikawa, Norm estimate for the Green operator with applications, Proceedings of Complex Analysis and Differential Equations, Marcus Wallenberg Symposium, in honor of Matts Essén at Uppsala in June 1997 (to appear).
- [4] H. Aikawa, Norm estimate of Green operator, perturbation of Green function and integrability of superharmonic functions, preprint.
- [5] H. Aikawa and M. Essén, *Potential Theory: Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1633, Springer, 1996.
- [6] H. Aikawa and M. Murata, Generalized Cranston-McConnell inequalities and Martin boundaries of unbounded domains, J. Analyse Math. 69 (1996), 137–152.
- [7] A. Ancona, On strong barriers and an inequality of Hardy for domains in ℝⁿ, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1986), 274–290.
- [8] A. Ancona, First eigenvalues and comparison of Green's functions for elliptic operators on manifolds or domains, J. Analyse Math. (to appear).
- [9] R. Bañuelos, Intrinsic ultracontractivity and eigenfunction estimates for Schrödinger operators, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 181–206.
- [10] D. S. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in non-tangentially accessible domains, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), 80–147.
- [11] V. G. Maz'ya, Sobolev Spaces, Springer, 1985.
- [12] C. Miranda, Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type, Springer, 1970.

- [13] M. Murata, Semismall perturbations in the Martin theory for elliptic equations, Israel J. Math. (to appear).
- [14] N. Suzuki, Martin boundary for ΔP , Hiroshima Math. J. 14 (1984), 67–74.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE 690-8504, JAPAN *E-mail address:* haikawa@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp